

Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self harm (Review)

Hawton KKE, Townsend E, Arensman E, Gunnell D, Hazell P, House A, van Heeringen K



**THE COCHRANE
COLLABORATION®**

This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2009, Issue 1

<http://www.thecochranelibrary.com>



[Intervention Review]

Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self harm

Keith KE Hawton¹, Ellen Townsend², Ella Arensman³, David Gunnell⁴, Philip Hazell⁵, Allan House⁶, K van Heeringen⁷

¹University Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, UK. ²Risk Analysis, Social Processes and Health Group, School of Psychology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK. ³Vrije University, HV Amsterdam, Netherlands. ⁴Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. ⁵Thomas Walker Hospital (Rivendell) Child, Adolescent and Family Mental Health Services, Concord West, Australia. ⁶Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. ⁷University Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital, Gent, Belgium

Contact address: Keith KE Hawton, University Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, OX3 7JX, UK. keith.hawton@psych.ox.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Depression, Anxiety and Neurosis Group.

Publication status and date: Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 1, 2009.

Review content assessed as up-to-date: 29 July 1999.

Citation: Hawton KKE, Townsend E, Arensman E, Gunnell D, Hazell P, House A, van Heeringen K. Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self harm. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1999, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD001764. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001764.

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Deliberate self-harm is a major health problem associated with considerable risk of subsequent self-harm, including completed suicide.

Objectives

To identify and synthesise the findings from all randomised controlled trials that have examined the effectiveness of treatments of patients who have deliberately harmed themselves.

Search strategy

Electronic databases screened: MEDLINE (from 1966-February 1999); PsycLit (from 1974-March 1999); Embase (from 1980-January 1999); The Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CCTR) No.1 1999. Ten journals in the field of psychiatry and psychology were hand searched for the first version of this review. We have updated the hand search of three specialist journals in the field of suicidal research until the end of 1998. Reference lists of papers were checked and trialists contacted.

Selection criteria

All RCTs of psychosocial and/or psychopharmacological treatment versus standard or less intensive types of aftercare for patients who shortly before entering a study engaged in any type of deliberately initiated self-poisoning or self-injury, both of which are generally subsumed under the term deliberate self-harm.

Data collection and analysis

Data were extracted from the original reports independently by two reviewers. Studies were categorized according to type of treatment. The outcome measure used to assess the efficacy of treatment interventions for deliberate self-harm was the rate of repeated suicidal behaviour. We have been unable to examine other outcome measures as originally planned (e.g. compliance with treatment, depression, hopelessness, suicidal ideation/thoughts, change in problems/problem resolution).

Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self harm (Review)

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Main results

A total of 23 trials were identified in which repetition of deliberate self-harm was reported as an outcome variable. The trials were classified into 11 categories. The summary odds ratio indicated a trend towards reduced repetition of deliberate self-harm for problem-solving therapy compared with standard aftercare (0.70; 0.45 to 1.11) and for provision of an emergency contact card in addition to standard care compared with standard aftercare alone (0.45; 0.19 to 1.07). The summary odds ratio for trials of intensive aftercare plus outreach compared with standard aftercare was 0.83 (0.61 to 1.14), and for antidepressant treatment compared with placebo was 0.83 (0.47 to 1.48). The remainder of the comparisons were in single small trials. Significantly reduced rates of further self-harm were observed for depot flupenthixol vs. placebo in multiple repeaters (0.09; 0.02 to 0.50), and for dialectical behaviour therapy vs. standard aftercare (0.24; 0.06 to 0.93).

Authors' conclusions

There still remains considerable uncertainty about which forms of psychosocial and physical treatments of self-harm patients are most effective, inclusion of insufficient numbers of patients in trials being the main limiting factor. There is a need for larger trials of treatments associated with trends towards reduced rates of repetition of deliberate self-harm. The results of small single trials which have been associated with statistically significant reductions in repetition must be interpreted with caution and it is desirable that such trials are also replicated.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self harm

Deliberate self-harm is a major health problem associated with considerable risk of subsequent self-harm, including completed suicide. This systematic review evaluated the effectiveness of various treatments for deliberate self-harm patients in terms of prevention of further suicidal behaviour. From the results of 23 randomized controlled trials the reviewers concluded that more evidence is required to indicate what the most effective care is for this large patient population. Promising results were found for problem-solving therapy, provision of a card to allow emergency contact with services, depot flupenthixol for recurrent repeaters of self-harm and long-term psychological therapy for female patients with borderline personality disorder and recurrent self-harm. However, insufficient numbers of patients in nearly all trials limit the conclusions that can be reached. More evidence is required to determine the most effective treatment for deliberate self-harm patients and larger trials are badly needed.